19-23649-shl Doc 1911-1 Filed 11/10/20 Entered 11/10/20 15:21:31 Exhibit A Pg 1 of 47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Abingdon Division

-----x

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v. : 1:07CR29

PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, et al., :

Defendant. : Abingdon, Virginia

: July 20, 2007

SENTENCING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES P. JONES
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

S. RANDALL RAMSEYER, Esquire
RICK A. MOUNTCASTLE, Esquire
Assistant U.S. Attorneys
180 West Main Street, Room 104
Abingdon, Virginia 24210
For the United States of America.

HOWARD M. SHAPIRO, Esquire
KIMBERLY A. PARKER, Esquire
WilmerHale
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C., 20006
Counsel for the Defendant Purdue Frederick.

Proceedings recorded by Stenography, transcript produced by computer. $\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}$

BRIDGET A. DICKERT
UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER
180 WEST MAIN STREET, ROOM 104
ABINGDON, VIRGINIA 24210
(276) 628-5115

19-23649-shl Doc 1911-1 Filed 11/10/20 Entered 11/10/20 15:21:31 Exhibit A Pg 2 of 47

```
1
     APPEARANCES (cont.):
 2
         MARK F. POMERANTZ, Esquire
         Paul, Weiss, Rifkin, Wharton & Garrison
 3
         1285 Avenue of the Americas
         New York, New York 10019
 4
              Counsel for the Defendant Friedman.
 5
 6
         MARYJO WHITE, Esquire
         Debevoise & Plimpton
 7
         919 Third Avenue
         New York, New York 10022
 8
              Counsel for the Defendant Udell.
 9
10
         ANDREW GOOD, Esquire
         Good & Cormier
11
         83 Atlantic Avenue
         Boston, Massachusetts 02110
12
              Counsel for the Defendant Goldenheim.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
1
        (Proceedings commenced at 1:00 p.m.)
 2
              THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
 3
    gentlemen. The clerk will call the case.
              THE CLERK: United States of America v. The
 4
    Purdue Federick Company, Incorporated, and others,
 5
 6
    Case Number 1:07CR29.
 7
              THE COURT: This is the date scheduled for
 8
    sentencing. I want to first verify from defense
 9
    counsel that the defendants and their counsel have
10
    read and discussed the pre-sentence reports. So, if
    each counsel would confirm that to me?
11
12
              MR. SHAPIRO: Yes, Your Honor, on behalf of
13
    the Purdue Federick Company we have. Thank you.
14
              MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I'm Mark
15
    Pomerantz. On behalf of Michael Friedman we have
16
    received the pre-sentence report. I've reviewed it,
17
    I reviewed it with Dr. Friedman, and we have no
18
    objections to it.
              MS. WHITE: Your Honor, Maryjo White for
19
20
    Udell. We also received the pre-sentence report,
21
    reviewed it, and we have no objections to it.
22
              MR. GOOD: Your Honor, my name is Andrew
2.3
    Good. I represent Dr. Paul Goldenheim. We've
2.4
    received and read the report.
25
              THE COURT: Thank you, very much.
                                                  The
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

court has previously announced it would allow persons to speak to the court, and a number of people have signed up to speak. And what I'd like to do now is to allow the persons who have signed up to speak, and the process will be as I call their names, if they'll come forward and speak directly to me at the lectern. A number of people have signed up to speak, and so in order to make sure that everybody gets an opportunity to speak to me, I'm going to ask that you limit your comments to two minutes. I'll ask Mrs. Cook, the clerk, to indicate when that time is I'll call these persons in alphabetical order as I have them. The first person I have is Teresa Ashcraft. Yes, ma'am, if you'll just come forward. Let me say, also, I have received a number of letters, and many of those who have signed up to speak have also written me, and I have read every letter that has been sent to me. And so I want to make sure everybody understands that. So, yes, ma'am, Ms. Ashcraft. MS. ASHCRAFT: I'm a little nervous, Your Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Teresa Ashcraft. I come from a small town in DeBary, Florida. On September 23, 2001 my son,

Robert Lee Ashcraft, Jr. died from an accidental overdose of OxyContin. I refer to it as heroin in a pill. He was only 19 years old. He left behind a brother who was only 16 at the time.

2.3

2.4

He got his OxyContin because of Purdue's criminal actions. The OxyContin that took my son's life came from a prescription that was given to a mother who must have been so high that she didn't realize that her son was taking her drug into the neighborhood and selling it to the local teens.

All deaths are related to a prescription from somewhere which, in turn, came from the lies that Purdue has told the American people.

The amount of money that Purdue is being asked to pay is pennies. Let us not forget that Purdue has made over \$10,000,000,000 from OxyContin. Let us not forget how over the years Purdue Pharma has bragged on how many lawsuits they have won, how they would say that our children were addicts, that we as parents needed to take the responsibility.

Our children were not addicts; they were just your typical teenagers. Purdue, you knew the truth, you started phony drug awareness programs, so please don't sit there and claim you didn't know.

The actions of Purdue have not only take the,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

the life of my son, but the aftermath has slowly taken the life of his little brother. No, it's not from drugs, but it's from the depression and the quilt and the devastation it has caused him and our family. His pain some days is so unbearable it scares me. My husband and I worry every day about each other and our families. The actions of the three criminals have affected so many lives, and it doesn't go away. We have been given the life sentence due to their lies and their greed. THE COURT: Thank you, very much, ma'am. Paul and Wanda Begley. MR. BEGLEY: I want to thank the court for allowing us to do this today. My wife's not going to speak. We're here today to talk about the tragic consequences of addiction through the drug, OxyContin, which is readily available from drug dealers on almost any street corner in our neighborhood. We lost our grandson, who was 21 years old, from a drug overdose. I went to his bedroom to wake him, and I couldn't get him awake. We started CPR, called 911. He died at the hospital at 2:00 p.m. on June 8, 2006.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Josh was an outstanding young man, never in trouble. He excelled in sports. He won a football scholarship to the University of Virginia at Wise. He did something that most kids who play football never do. He won the state, all state title in Virginia and Tennessee, because he finished his senior year in Tennessee, and he also won the state shot put championship. Unfortunately, Josh will never finish college. His dreams will never be realized. They'll go forever unrealized. Your children are special, your grandchildren are special, but when you raise your grandchildren, they're extra special, and we raised Josh from the age of five. So, we used to go to his football games and cheer him on. Now we go to his grave and weep. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Edward Bisch, is it? MR. BISCH: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Ed Bisch. The first time I heard the word OxyContin, my 18 year old honor student son was dead from it after taking it at a party. I started a website to warn kids about this drug. Soon Purdue contacted me. I told Purdue that a lot of death and addiction stories I am getting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

through my website were from patients. Their response was less than one percent of patients get addicted, which was a lie. They also said there was a study where if a patient is not receiving a high enough dose, they will exhibit all symptoms of an addict. All they really need is a higher dose. The sales force also used this story on doctors, but they did not even know the whole theory was based on a single patient. Patients easily weam off OxyContin, I was told. Another lie. I have met the three criminals before. I was at the first Congressional hearing on OxyContin. There it came out that Purdue knew of all the top prescribing doctors, even those who went off the charts, when asked at the time that they did not report these top prescribers they took the Fifth Amendment. At a later trial the Purdue lawyer responded to this same question that Purdue was under no legal obligation to report these top prescribers. Where was your moral obligation? This corporate policy insured millions of pills

This corporate policy insured millions of pills would reach the streets. By the way, Purdue referred to these doctors as whales, but I call them pill mill doctors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

For these three men to claim it was only a few roque individuals guilty of crimes is ludicrous. Purdue painted themselves as champions of chronic pain patients. I'm sure you will hear from some today with severe injuries which warrant Oxys, and you'll also hear from so called pain experts who Purdue funds, but the fact was Purdue spent hundreds of millions of dollars on marketing this heroin like drug for moderate pain. Two hundred million, alone, was spent in 2001, the year my son died. In the summer of 2001 OxyContin was front page news, and there was Congressional hearings scheduled for the fall. By the way, Purdue was denying this epidemic existed. I was optimistic something concrete would be done to stop the death and destruction that this epidemic was causing. Unfortunately, on 9/11/01 everything changed and the OxyContin was no longer a priority, understandably so. THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Bisch. Mr. Bisch? Mr. Bisch, let me interrupt you. really want to give everybody a chance. If you'll just sum up now. MR. BISCH: On 9/12/01 they sent out company-wide voice mail stating since the terrorists

```
1
    had taken Oxy out of the headlines, let's get to the
 2
    top prescribers and push the Oxy. The lies and
 3
    deceits started at the top and caused to ruin
    countless lives. Please do not allow this plea
 4
 5
    bargain to proceed. These criminals deserve jail
 6
    time.
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Victor Del
 8
    Regno.
 9
              MR. DEL REGNO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
    will go to my grave believing with every fiber of my
11
    body that my son, Andrew, would be alive today if
    OxyContin wasn't illegally marketed and misbranded,
12
13
    and therefore made so readily available on the
14
    college campuses, in our high schools, and on the
15
    streets. And to you, James W. Heins, if you are in
16
    the courtroom, you are quoted in today's Providence
17
    Journal, today's lead cover story, I quote, "We do
18
    not believe that our promotion of OxyContin to health
19
    care professionals has resulted in injury to anyone."
20
    Yet, Mr. Heins, in the Roanoke Times there's a quote
21
    that Purdue top three officers pleaded guilty to
22
    charges of over promoting OxyContin.
2.3
         Our son was a great kid. He was in college. He
2.4
    was at St. Michael's College.
                                   He was a junior. We
25
    were close, we're a close family. He was planning on
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

going to Italy for his spring semester, Your Honor. We spoke to him the night before he died. Everything was fine. He went out with some friends. He made a mistake, we know that. He took OxyContin with some alcohol. He didn't wake up. When the State Policeman came to our house the next morning he said, "Your son had died." I said, "What? No, not Andrew. Car accident?" They said, "No, drug overdose." So, when they talk about these kids and make these statements that they make bad decisions because they're bad people, I think they better evaluate a little further. We told him we loved him before he died and thank God we had that. I would like to ask Mr. Friedman, Mr. Udell and Mr. Goldenheim what if it was your son or daughter you saw in the morque when we went there and he was autopsied, sliced and diced? Then what would they do if they were confronted by donor people with just minutes to make a decision, they wanted his skin and his bones. Well, we had him skinned, and he was cremated. I know you received the letter, so I won't go over with it. I would, with the court's permission, just one paragraph that a detective wrote after 15 months. THE COURT: All right. You may read that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

MR. DEL REGNO: "I began this investigation not knowing anything about Andrew. Now that the investigation has concluded I feel in a way I have come to know Andrew quite well, and know what kind of person he was. I found that he comes from a very supportive and caring family who loved him dearly. found that every person he came into contact with he touched in some way. I found that those who had the pleasure of knowing him knew that he was caring and committed to his friends and family. He was someone that if you met just once you would always remember." Closing comment. Oh, yes, our son did make a bad decision by his own choice, and it cost him his life. What should it really cost each of you for your bad decisions and choices? I know if the roles were reversed, Your Honor, and those three men were standing where I am right now, and it was their loved one who had died from fraudulent marketing of a lethal drug, they, you, those men would want more punishment than a company check being written to pay a fine. You would want true justice to be served. THE COURT: Peter Jackson. MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor, for the opportunity to address the court in this proceeding. My name is Peter W. Jackson, and my wife and I reside in Arlington Heights, Illinois where we have raised one boy and one girl.

2.3

2.4

On August 18, 2006 our daughter, Emily, only 18 years of age, three days from her first day in college, was killed accidentally when she consumed OxyContin that had been prescribed for a relative.

Emily was not an experienced drug user, and all it took was one encounter with this drug. She had no chance to learn from this one time experience. Had she any idea how deadly this heroin-like drug was, she would still be alive.

Emily's tragedy can be traced to the aggressive and dishonest marketing campaign of Purdue Pharma that began when the drug was first put on the market in 1996.

Purdue chose to expand the use of OxyContin for profit, in spite of possessing the knowledge that OxyContin was prone to abuse, addiction and death.

Given its widespread distribution in the years that followed, and the resultant ready access to the drug, teenagers across the country soon became aware of the drug's heroin-like high and how to circumvent the time release mechanism. Oxys became a household word in the vernacular of our young people, who too often make the assumption that any drug that has been

FDA approved and prescribed by a doctor is safe.

They have no idea how lethal this drug is. Purdue

Pharma knew.

2.3

2.4

In light of the plea agreement for the three executives for Purdue Pharma, brings me to ask this question: Why does a person in this country who gives someone, by giving them OxyContin in prison would get off under the plea agreements by simply paying the fine for what was an intentional crime that resulted in, directly and indirectly, in thousands of deaths and addictions?

I would like to close by telling you a few things about our daughter, and I'll make it short. She was a bright, funny, artistic, loving friendly, compassionate person who cared more about the people around her than herself. She suffered from thyroid cancer and went through three major surgeries in her last four years of life. Nonetheless, she supported the people around her who she cared more about. Her sweet disposition gave meaning and life to family and friends. We could not be more proud of our daughter.

The three executives of Purdue Pharma who are defendants in this proceeding deserve to be punished severely for what they have done. They marketed this drug to the American public as though it was safe.

1 And they knew better. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Larry Golbom. 3 MR. GOLBOM: Thank you, sir. Larry Golbom. Many people in this courtroom have lost their loved 4 ones because of OxyContin being implicated in their 5 6 lives. My wife and I grieve for these families. 7 appears our son may have the same, may have a terminal illness of addiction which started with 8 9 oxycodone. 10 Before these three men started peddling 11 oxycodone in 1995 few people had heard of it. My wife and I will never know if our lives would be 12 13 different if the actions of those three men would 14 have been different. 15 Sir, I know you have seen a diagram of OxyContin 16 and heroin. I sent it to you. Sir, if it quacks 17 like a duck it is a duck. If it smells like a skunk, 18 it is a skunk. 19 Today this venue is not to talk about the 20 personal responsibility of my son and the other 21 children; today is not the venue to talk about the 2.2 personal responsibility of my wife and I as parents; 2.3 today is not even the venue to ask why our government 2.4 has allowed such a dangerous drug to remain on the 25 market; today I am here to talk about the personal

responsibility of the three executives in this courtroom.

2.3

2.4

You have seen the diagram and proof before you. The three of them were part of a coordinated and ingenious effort to unleash a drug that is chemically similar to heroin. I hope that your actions today will help us stop the lies surrounding the drug OxyContin.

As a pharmacist, I can stand before any one of the Purdue experts or attorneys and explain the half truths and mistruths surrounding the drug. It is not a miracle drug as these three executives paid so many people to tell you.

But most importantly, even though this case of misbranding ends in 2001, these executives brazenly continued to market the drug OxyContin aggressively after 2001. The number of so-called experts who have either been paid directly or indirectly by this company to expound upon the virtue of the drug that is addicting and killing thousands is shameful.

The selling and marketing of OxyContin continues today, just not as blatantly and misleadingly as in 2001 and before.

The people who are taking the drugs and expounding the attributes of OxyContin are also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

```
unfortunately possibly addicted to the drug, and at
the very least must plead for the drug to prevent
violent withdrawal from the effects of oxycodone.
That may sound like a callous statement, but the
total truth about this drug must be told. Today,
sir, you have a chance to save many people. Thank
you.
         THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Gary Harney.
         MR. HARNEY: Thank you, Judge. Gary
Harney. I lost my son August 20th. I'm from
Sarasota, Florida, and in Manatee County we lost
about 1,700 that year.
     I want to talk about Dr. Frederick -- not
Frederick, Dr. Friedman. Dr. Friedman isn't a
medical doctor. He has his Ph.D. in sales and
marketing. He's an expert in sales, and he's an
expert in marketing. He's a regular P.T. Barnum.
has got this drug across this country. We in Florida
are swamped with it. Lives are being destroyed.
as the person in front of me so eloquently said, they
have not stopped their marketing of the way it was.
They're still marketing it.
     This trial is nothing more than a play on chess.
It's just another move. They haven't changed a
thing.
       They're working it just as hard as ever.
```

1 They're going to take money out of the checkbook, pay 2 it, keep going. 3 We're having deaths every day down there in Florida. Three a day on just Oxy, five a day on 4 5 prescription drugs. I kind of wish it was manatees 6 washing up on the beach because maybe we'd get a lot 7 more attention. But that amount of people that are 8 dying, the sheer numbers show a total back of 9 responsibility from that company, and I hope they 10 never have to feel that type of pain because it's 11 never ending. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Kenny Keith. 13 MR. KEITH: Your Honor, I have nothing 14 written down here. I thank you for allowing me to 15 come. I am a blessed person because I am one of the 16 patients who got addicted to OxyContin, who lived 17 through it. I was given it for chronic pain, which I 18 still have. My doctors thought that it was right, 19 and because of the information they had been given. 20 It took very little time for me to be addicted 21 to this drug because whenever I tried to stop it the 22 withdrawals were worse than the pain that I was 2.3 having. It took me over two and a half to three 2.4 years to get off this drug. 25 I kept getting more from the doctor. The

1 doctors would give them to me, but I could get more 2 off the street, and it was to match what I needed to 3 take because of the addiction I had. Your Honor, I had a family, I had a home, I had 4 motorcycles, I had a car. Those are worldly 5 6 possessions, except for my family, but Your Honor, I 7 lost all of that because I spent every cent of our 8 family's money on it, including my daughter's 9 Christmas money, the last thing that happened. My 10 wife left me and took my daughter, rightly so, 11 because I was a man and I was an animal out of 12 control. 13 It took me still after that a month or two that 14 I had to do something right, and finally I figured I 15 had nothing to live for, and I just wanted to die. 16 And I tried to commit suicide because I knew I could 17 not get off the OxyContin without the horrible pain of withdrawals. And if anyone says it's not, they're 18 19 a liar. 20 Thank you. One little thing more, sir. 21 through a program, drug court in Roanoke, Virginia. 22 I was arrested for the first time, and at 48 years 2.3 old, and I went through that process, and now I'm 2.4 clean, and I'm taking non-narcotic medicines for my 25 And you can live through it, but very few do. pain.

1 Thank you, sir. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Lynn Locascio. 3 MS. LOCASCIO: Thank you, Judge. My name is Lynn Locascio. I'm from Palm Harbor, Florida. 4 should be at work today, but I'm here. My 22 year 5 6 old son is a recovering Oxy addict. 7 Michael Friedman, Howard Udell, Paul Goldenheim, 8 you are responsible for my son's life being trashed. 9 He should be a firefighter right now, and he should 10 be a paramedic right now, and he's not. He had a car 11 accident, he had surgery, he was prescribed OxyContin. When he became addicted the doctor 12 13 dropped him. The doctor was not educated enough 14 about this. 15 Gentlemen, you are responsible for a modern day plaque. It is killing our children every day. Every 16 17 day. On Sunday before we made our journey to come up here, we had a reporter come to my house, she did a 18 19 great story. The next day I went to work, the very 20 first e-mail I got was this: "My son committed suicide on June 23, 2007 from this terrible 21 22 addiction. He purchased \$800 worth of OxyContin the 2.3 night before his death from the streets. Kids are 2.4 getting prescriptions for this drug and selling them 25 for \$50 a pill. I want to know what I can do to get

1 this off the streets. My son was 24 years old. only child. He shot himself because he couldn't get 2 3 off the drugs. He spent \$5,500 in five weeks on these drugs in Pinellas County, Florida." 4 This lady's heart is broken, and will be 5 6 forever. We refuse to suffer in silence, and to be 7 told our beloved children are not victims. We are 8 parents that placed our children in proper child 9 safety seats, parents that read labels on toys to 10 make sure they were age appropriate, parents that participated in the Just Say No to Drugs campaign --11 12 by the way, mine graduated that with honors and 13 received an award from the Sheriff's Department -- it didn't work ten years later because of your drug. 14 15 I could go on and on. I do want to say one 16 thing. I think jail is too good for you guys. I 17 think you should go spend some time in a rehab facility like my son did and watch that. Maybe 18 19 you'll change your minds. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you ma'am. Leona Nuss. 21 MS. NUSS: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank 22 you for allowing me to be here. My name is Leona 2.3 Nuss. I live in Palm Coast, Florida. On May 1, the 2.4 year 2003, my husband and I lost our only child, an 25 18 year old son named Randall. The cause of his

death was an accidental overdose of OxyContin.

2.3

2.4

Your Honor, right before this happened to my son he was getting ready to go to college. His college was paid for. Four months after he graduated he had an overdose of this OxyContin. His pre-paid college ended up paying for his funeral.

For me to conceive Randall it took 11 years with the help of modern technology and miracle drugs. How ironic can life be that 18 years later Purdue dumped OxyContin as their miracle drug and it took my son's life.

I feel, I feel that you are illegal drug users, nothing more than a large corporate drug cartel. You created this drug, you promoted it, you pushed it, you lied about it, you even had the ex-mayor of New York City defend it. You have killed and continue to kill our future of tomorrow. You killed my son, and many others, and continue to do so as I speak.

OxyContin has caused addiction, crimes, destruction of families and the ultimate, death. You and your drug, OxyContin, have caused legal genocide in this country. Illegal drug pushers get jail time, and so should you.

Your Honor, please reject the plea agreement.

Money means nothing to them. Let the punishment fit

1 the crime. 2 And one more thing, Your Honor, this is the 3 remains of my son, Randall. This is from your drug, OxyContin, and here he is in this courtroom, which I 4 thank you, Your Honor, because he was put out of the 5 6 courtroom in the year 2005 at Karen White's trial 7 against Purdue Pharma. They made my son, in this little jar, Your Honor, leave the courtroom and go 8 9 out of the building, or they were going to call for a 10 mistrial. And I had wrote in my son's book, "You 11 weren't there in body, but you were there in spirit, 12 and you will return." And here he is. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Robert 14 Palmisano. 15 MR. PALMISANO: Thank you for letting me speak, Your Honor. My name is Robert Palmisano, and 16 17 I'm an addict. At least I am now, or I have to say I am when I go to meetings. I'm a recovering addict. 18 19 I'm 17 months clean.

OxyContin destroyed my life. I was prescribed, and I almost died. I was prescribed the drugs from approximately 19 to 21 years old. I was doctor shopping to get more of the drug once I got addicted because I couldn't get enough. I was taking it for physical pain, emotional pain, and for fun. It

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1 wasn't worth it. 2 I got charged with possession and doctor 3 shopping of the drug. I was sentenced to a year in county jail. I was still hooked on the drugs when I 4 5 got sentenced. I was given no medical treatment in 6 there, and I withdrew for about 45 days. I did not 7 sleep for ten of those days. I was miserable. I 8 wished I had a weapon; I would have killed myself. I 9 would not want to go through that misery again, ever. 10 That's why I am recovering. 11 I think it would be ridiculous to see a slap on 12 the wrist and a misdemeanor charge in a plea 13 agreement for money. Money can't buy all the lives 14 that were lost, nor the years of my life that I have 15 lost back. They have tons of it. Money doesn't make 16 you a person, and you can't get respect for it, either. 17 I have no respect for those three executives 18 19 there at all. It's a cop out, and I would be 20 absolutely appalled to see them walk away with a 21 misdemeanor crime and a fine. That's all, Your 2.2 Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Joanne 2.4 Peterson. 25 MS. PETERSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity for our voices to be heard today. My name is Joanne Peterson, and I'm from Massachusetts. I'm standing to speak for 400 strong in our group in the southern part of Massachusetts. Some of them are here today outside, families who grieve for the sons and daughters, families are, are trying to save their sons and daughters, families who will lose their sons and daughters. It is not over. We all grieve for the losing of the future they were meant to have. A tsunami hit our state in 2001 in the form of a little white pill. Thank you. There was no warning, no defense, and it snuffed out lives, destroyed families, and today it continues. Just in the past two weeks two young men and one young woman have been buried, and we've had to deal with that, and it just continues daily. The fourth funeral was today in Massachusetts, and that family today is grieving, and they're in the beginning of the pain that was suffered by the other families you're hearing from. All in their twenties, all gone. Every Monday I've had a support meeting in Massachusetts, and every Monday there's new heartbroken mothers and fathers coming to our meeting, desperately seeking help for their children.

2.3

2.4

Some are as young as 15 years old. In 28 communities a year long investigation from death certificates revealed from January, '04 through August of '06, 74 people lost their lives, but the numbers are even higher than that. These are just the reported cases. Shame and stigma prevent families from speaking out about it like myself.

Irresponsible corporate greed led to horrific pain and loss that affects generations to come. It leads to millions in costs. Every one has paid a price for greed.

I'm here in honor of Mikey today, my friend,
Carol's, son. No parent should have to find their
child barely breathing. No parent should have to
bury their child. My son today is a survivor. He
has two years clean. But his life will never be the
same, nor will my family's, my younger children.

To be sentenced to pay pennies for people's lives doesn't fit the crime. We feel you should be sentenced to work in a detox and deal with the families as they are turned away because there are no more beds available because they're all full, or you should have to attend the wakes that I have to attend all the time, and that wouldn't be enough. The price is too high. God love my son, God bless my son, and

```
1
    God bless my family and ever person in this
 2
    courtroom. Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: Julie Rinaldi.
              MS. RINALDI: Thank you for letting us
 4
 5
    speak today. My name is Julie Rinaldi. I'm from
 6
    Tampa, Florida. I lost my only child, my daughter,
 7
    Sarah. She was 17. She died June 30, 2006, just a
 8
    little over a year ago. I'm just here as a mom, a
 9
    mom that will never be called Grandma, I'll never see
10
    my daughter walk down the aisle. I didn't even get
11
    to see my daughter graduate high school. She died of
    an accidental overdose of OxyContin.
12
13
         We're losing kids in Florida daily. Our drive
14
    up here yesterday, we got a phone call, lost another
15
    19 year old girl a couple of days ago due to
16
    OxyContin.
17
         I just want to see Purdue Pharma be held
    accountable for their actions. Nobody is being held
18
19
    responsible for anything these days, and whatever you
20
    decide to do I know it will be the right thing. But
21
    I just wanted to come here and tell you my story
22
    about my daughter. Thank you.
2.3
              THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Marianne
2.4
    Skolek.
25
              MS. SKOLEK: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

name is Marianne Skolek. I had a beautiful 29 year old daughter named Jill who had the misfortune of being prescribed OxyContin in January, 2002 and being killed in April of 2002. She left behind her son, Brian, who was six years old at the time of her death. Brian is here in the courtroom with me today because he needed to see that bad things do happen to bad people. My initial research on Purdue Pharma began four and a half years ago, and focused on David Haddox, dentist turned psychiatrist and Senior Medical Director of Purdue Pharma, as well as Robin Hogan, former P.R. spokesman for Purdue Pharma. Unfortunately they were not charged for their involvement in the criminal activities of OxyContin. Pain patients from pain societies will speak of the merits of OxyContin and their quality of life being restored. These pain societies throughout the country are funded by Purdue Pharma. Let the pain patient not part of any pain society funded by Purdue Pharma speak about the quality of their life after they become addicted by this less than addictive drug and have to go out on the street and purchase it. I would like to know why the FDA allowed

OxyContin to cause such destruction to victims, why

2.3

2.4

12 warning letters were sent to the FDA about their marketing of the drug, and to this day they are not required to put addictive or highly addictive on the label of the drug. I just want to know why Attorney General Blumenthal of Connecticut's citizen petition which requests strengthened warnings on the OxyContin label as a result of their investigation has been sitting at the FDA without any action since 2004. I wanted to know how Rudy Giuliani could be hired by Purdue Pharma to play down the abuse of OxyContin and also get paid by the DEA.

I will be working to have Paul (sic) Udell disbarred for his activities, and I will also be working that the three criminals not being able to work in the pharmaceutical industry again because they are criminals who criminally marketed OxyContin. I will accomplish this. Do not doubt me in being successful in achieving this.

I will reach out to any organization that

Mr. Friedman speaks to about having a member of his

family survive the Holocaust, and contact that group

and say to them that Friedman is no better than

Adolph Hitler who killed and destroyed countless

lives; Hitler through death and torture, Friedman

through death and addiction.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

```
I would like to thank U.S. Attorney Brownlee and
all that were involved in this long process of
proving Purdue Pharma guilty, and these criminals
guilty. In particular, I would like to thank a very
special person who knows who he is for never letting
us down. Her name was Jill Carol Skolek. Purdue
will never forget the name Jill Carol Skolek. The
criminal activities of Friedman, Udell, Goldenheim,
Haddox and Hogan are absolute monsters in every sense
of the word. Jill was all about good; they are all
about sheer evil.
          THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
                                       Edward
Swain.
         MR. SWAIN: Judge Jones, I appreciate the
opportunity to speak before you today. My name is Ed
Swain. I speak on behalf of myself and my wife, who
his a board certified family practitioner, who is now
in federal prison incarcerated in Alderson, West
Virginia. She prescribed OxyContin before the
horrific publicity on the drug. Purdue
representatives stressed that OxyContin time release
formulation provided -- sir?
          THE COURT: Sir, let me interrupt you.
It's a little hard for me to hear you. If you'll
speak right up to that microphone, and relax. You
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

don't need to -- you just tell me your story. MR. SWAIN: I'm sorry, sir. Purdue represented OxyContin as the ideal drugs for treatment of chronic pain; safe, and effective, and unabusable. They flooded doctors' offices with graphs and charts to prove it, placed full page ads in every medical journal. Purdue reps lied to these physicians when they claimed that OxyContin was specifically formulated to prevent the possibility of abuse. OxyContin's actually the most dangerous drug ever approved for use in the United States. OxyContin is the only drug ever approved by FDA for the treatment of pain which can kill a normal, healthy adult with just one pill. Purdue physicians who prescribed this product and the enormity of the crimes correlated directly with the astronomical profits. They did not only sell their souls, but also the souls of thousands of unsuspecting patients who are forever scarred. crimes committed by them will last for generations. It's ironic that prosecutors who demanded prison time for physicians who were duped by Purdue and their reps, and their reps misrepresented to those physicians who prescribed OxyContin in good faith, are now asking the court for only a slap on the wrist

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

```
for the men who created the whole OxyContin hoax.
     Your Honor, we urge you to reject the plea
agreement and hold accountable for criminal
prosecution these Purdue executives who are men
without honor, and are motivated solely by greed.
          THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
         MR. SWAIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Donnie Trent.
         MR. TRENT: Thank you, Your Honor, for
letting me speak today. I'm a grateful recovering
addict, recovering from OxyContin. I've been in
recovery for approximately a year and a half. First
time I -- I never will forget the first time I tried
OxyContin. I was at Fort Bragg, North Carolina,
stationed there. And it's safe to say I was hooked
right from the very beginning, the first time I tried
it, the whole euphoria, the feeling of it, and
everything; and two, I will never forget the first
day I went into withdrawals from not having OxyContin
in my system, just like it was yesterday.
struggled with addiction to OxyContin for a period of
five years, in and out of detoxes, trying to get off
the drug, and actually went through a treatment
center for about ten months before I was able to
actually establish some kind of recovery.
```

1 I had lost all hope. I had lost all 2 self-respect, all my values. I lost my family's 3 trust, and I was spiritually dead due to the drug 4 OxyContin. 5 But I am grateful to say today that I am in 6 recovery. But, you know, the drug, itself, has 7 changed so many lives, and it's hurt so many people 8 and so many families, and I just can't see that there 9 can be any amount of money or dollar sign that could 10 be compared for the loss. And just probation or 11 community service, I don't see how that can be accountable for their actions, and how they misled 12 13 doctors and the public. 14 And also, too, you know, if there is some kind 15 of -- the monies, you would think it would be 16 important for some of the monies that are going to be 17 paid, if they are, would go toward treatment because jails are not the answer to addiction. Treatment is 18 the answer to addiction. And otherwise, I just thank 19 20 you for your time. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Barbara Van 22 Rooyan. 2.3 MS. VAN ROOYAN: Hello, Your Honor. Thank you for allowing me to be here today. My husband and 2.4 25 I have come from California to be here today because

my son, Patrick Stuart, had his life cut short at age 24 with just one OxyContin. And you heard previously from a gentleman about a healthy, normal individual losing their life to one OxyContin. My son was another example of that.

2.3

2.4

You know from my letter that he was a San Diego State University graduate. He was an artist, and he was a certified personal trainer. What I learned after Patrick's death about OxyContin and Purdue shocked and sickened me, enough that almost every day for a year I wasn't sure I wanted to be alive. But I got up, and two months after Patrick's death I went back to work because, as a college counselor, I knew that I had a platform, a platform for educating others about the dangers of OxyContin, and about the life taking campaign of deception that Purdue Pharma has engaged in.

I told Patrick's story in classrooms, in auditoriums, in meeting rooms and at college fairs. I talked to thousands of people between the ages of 16 and 90. We have a student as old as 90. And because I was a counselor, and because I was willing to talk about Patrick's story, people responded to me with their own tragic OxyContin stories, or those of a friend or relative.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Purdue would like you to believe that those who died or become addicted have only themselves to blame. But here's what I learned firsthand from talking to thousands of students. Many, many, many people were routinely being prescribed OxyContin for minor to moderate pain from minor sports injuries, removal of skin cancers, wisdom teeth, arthritis and bursitis, and I heard that people put their trust in their doctors, and these same doctors put their trust in Purdue Pharma's drug dealers. And I mean drug dealers who were, as they've admitted, lying to the doctors.

The result of that broken trust has been addiction and/or death. It doesn't take a smart person to figure out where the blame lies. It lies on the shoulders of the people who put a narcotic strong enough to kill with just one pill into hundreds of thousands of medicine cabinets across the nation and the continent. For this they're being fined.

There is no justice that can atone for Patrick's death or all the other deaths. I am here today not just for justice, but to help to protect future victims, and a fine is not enough to insure that protection. The message needs to be sent that money

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

cannot be made by taking or destroying lives. I don't know what it will take, exactly, to get that message across. I am trusting that you will know the right thing to do. A fine, alone, will not send the message that can prevent future victims. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Kirk Van Rooyan. MR. VAN ROOYAN: Your Honor, I appreciate the opportunity to speak today. My comments today will be made as a physician, as a consultant to the Medical Board of California. Even though it had no proper clinical data documenting OxyContin's indications and line of toxicity, Purdue's marketing practices purposely violated the essential medical principle of no treatment without a specific scientific diagnosis. It unconscionably promoted the drug as safe for chronic moderate pain of all types. In fact, targeted physicians with less expertise in pain management. The result was a tremendous volume of legitimately prescribed but improperly directed OxyContin, inevitably followed by substantial diversion and abuse, and substantial reports of adverse side effects, addictions and deaths. Purdue's response to these highly troubling events

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

should have been ethical and professional. Instead, it has been a highly organized and financed campaign of deception. In the face of overwhelming documentation to the contrary, Purdue has arrogantly and feloniously maintained the validity of their shotgun approach to chronic pain as a disease unto itself; that the addiction and deaths is due to illicit, not prescription, use of OxyContin. They claim chemical reformulation is a daunting task and a minor issue, and taking a pill every 12 hours instead of every four somehow eliminates break through pain. A substantial number, as you've heard today, of the persons harmed by OxyContin were responsible, productive, law abiding individuals who did nothing wrong except trust their physicians or their friends' reassurances that it was a safe prescription drug. The OxyContin issue is not mutually exclusive. You will hear, undoubtedly, from patients today who have benefitted from the drug. There is an appropriate medical use for the drug, and we here praise the positive outcomes, but only in the proper medical situation. That's an important consideration.

As a medical professional, Your Honor, I am

demeaned and outraged by the disrespect for medical ethics and science so wilfully and repeatedly demonstrated by these men.

2.3

2.4

As a citizen I cannot agree that monetary sanctions without incarceration, parole or community service is a punishment consistent with their crimes. But most important, as a physician committed to the relief of suffering, I am appalled at the harm -- and yes, Mr. Heins, there was harm -- the individual devastation and heartache that these men have perpetrated on so many in this court today, and throughout the country.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Ed Vanicky.

MR. VANICKY: Afternoon, Your Honor. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity this afternoon to address the court.

My wife was involved in an auto accident in January of 2000. Among her injuries she was diagnosed with a herniated disc. After referral to a pain management physician in late February, 2000 she was prescribed OxyContin. A drug as powerful as OxyContin never should have been prescribed for that type of injury. Although she complained about the side effects of the OxyContin, itching and shortness of breath, the doctor convinced her the OxyContin was

necessary for treatment, and subsequently increased her dosage from ten milligrams to 20, and then finally 40 milligrams. He was writing prescriptions for her to take the OxyContin every six to eight hours, and also giving her oxycodone for break through pain.

2.3

2.4

I woke up to discover my wife unresponsive next to me on the morning of January 27, 2000. After repeated attempts myself using CPR, the emergency technicians failed to resuscitate her. She was pronounced at 5:55 a.m. She had been taking OxyContin as prescribed for five months, and it killed her.

Besides myself she left behind a daughter, two sons and a granddaughter. I found out after her death that this particular pain management physician was one of the doctors initially targeted and referred to as a whale by Purdue.

The emotional pain and suffering I've endured since my wife's sudden death, and continue to struggle with now, will never compare to the pain and suffering my wife endured when she died as a direct result of your drug, OxyContin. If anyone abused anything it was this criminal organization, and these three criminal defendants. They abused the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

government's trust for their own monetary gain. They abused the government's trust in an effort to get OxyContin approved for sale. Once they had that approval and OxyContin sales skyrocketed, along with the death toll, they sat in their plush Stamford, Connecticut offices watching the money roll in while wives, husband, fathers and mothers are burying citizens across this country. As with all dishonest acts, it all catches up with you. It has caught up with Purdue. Although we are grateful these prayers have been answered, our work is not done. This is a despicable company, and they will be allowed to hawk OxyContin. promise them we will continue to speak, and write our elected national and state official, as well as law enforcement on both levels, as well as call for further investigations. Also we will continue to petition the FDA to reclassify OxyContin and tighten its restrictions for use. It should just be prescribed for terminal severe medical conditions, also.

In closing, we appreciate the fact that Purdue Frederick Company will carry its conviction permanently as part of the criminal record, but we also pray that each and every one of these people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

will be forever haunted by the victims of their many crimes. When the end comes for each of you and you stand before God to be judged, you better pray that he forgives you because none of us ever will. Thank you, very much. THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Now, attorney Russell Butler has filed a motion, and Mr. Butler, if you wish to come forward and I will be glad to hear you. MR. BUTLER: May it please the court, my name is Russell Butler, and I represent the victim Jane Doe. And I thank you for allowing her to maintain her name in privacy. THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. BUTLER: But on behalf of my client we have filed a motion under the Crime Victim Rights Act to assert her right as a victim under federal law. And I think it's clear, Your Honor, from what we've set forth in our motion, and I know that Your Honor does not have a lot of time so I will try to not repeat, but we would ask the court to very carefully look at all the legal arguments, and we believe for those legal arguments the court, at least at this point, should not accept the plea.

I believe that the law is very clear. I think

2.3

2.4

the defendants have admitted that as a condition of probation this court has the ability to order restitution against other persons. I think there are other provisions of the Code that we've cited that allows it to do that, as well. I don't think there's any doubt under the statute that the statute requires that in order for the corporate defendant, as they've pled guilty, that they have, have intent to deceive the public.

There are victims, these people who came before

There are victims, these people who came before Your Honor in two minutes, or a little more, and I'm sure they're grateful for the court allowing them to have a little additional time, have clearly stated it was the intent to deceive in terms of the misbranding this caused harm to a great number of people, including my client.

Your Honor has her written victim impact statement in her affidavit. I only wish she could be here because she is now currently addicted, and she believes after going through one course of treatment, detoxification she's going to be okay. I'm not sure, after hearing all these people, that that's even going to be true.

Your Honor, we believe that the plea is not in the interests of justice. Is the plea to punish,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

protect, deter? I think Purdue Frederick, in response to Blue Cross/Blue Shield said exactly what the purpose of this plea is, and it is to provide significant resources to federal and state governments; \$600,000,000 from the corporate defendant, 34.5 million dollars from the individual defendants, only to have the state and federal government have that money instead of the victims. We've cited the statute that the court needs to protect the interests of victims and not the monetary sanctions that go to governments. Your Honor, is there acceptance of responsibility in this case? From my practice, I represented criminal defendants, I talked to my clients about mea culpa and what it meant to talk from the heart and accept responsibility. Your Honor, I think by the pleadings of the corporate defendant and the individual defendants they have clearly not accepted responsibility. They have agreed to pay this money, it's in their corporate interests, it's in their individual interests, but they say there's no victims. Well, if there are no victims then what are they? Casualties of corporate greed? They say bring on the civil suits. people can't profit. We've got lots of money. This

is only -- the money we're giving is from the proceeds of the periods of information. We have plenty of money for selling OxyContin after that time, and for other medications.

2.3

2.4

You know, to agree with their argument, the drug laws that our Congress passed would be that they do not protect the citizens, and of course the reason for those drugs laws were to protect these citizens, and all these people and my client have been harmed by the actions of the individual and corporate defendants.

They got tremendous plea offers from the Government, misdemeanor offenses for the individual defendants. You know, I heard these other people say that, you know, this is payment, this is get out of jail money, you know. You can hear the pain of these victims, you can hear in my client's statement her pain of being addicted, and what it was like to try to get off of OxyContin. Clearly, my client has a long row to hoe, but she does not have the money for treatment. She's trying to get her family members to borrow to be able to do this. I can only imagine, unlike a lot of these people that have spoken before you, I am glad that neither I nor my family have had to deal with this drug.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

But I will tell you, Your Honor, what rings loud and clear to me is that the severity of the punishment needs to fit the crime. It is a travesty of justice if this court allows these individual defendants not to be on probation. Think of the pain of all these people who are suffering the consequences of OxyContin, and they get off with disgorgement of money that they benefitted as a result of what they somewhat have admitted as their wrongful conduct. Is this plea fair to my client, Jane Doe? No. She's been harmed by the statute. We have cited the It may be fair to the defendants, it may be fair to the Government, the state government, the federal government for the money they get, but that is not the standard of being in the interests of justice. So, Your Honor, on behalf of my client, we would ask the court not to accept the plea, or modify the plea, at least to the extent that the individual victims of this drug are compensated, and not just the state and federal government entities. And if the court rejects it, this court, a jury will speak and the defendants will have to suffer the consequences of their conviction. If they really do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

agree, they would agree to pay, and not, and make it very clear that they plan to litigate, and litigate, and litigate. One can only imagine the millions and billions of dollars they have spent in attorneys' fees, not in this case, but defending all these cases. Mea culpa, Your Honor, says we're wrong, we're sorry for our wrong, we admit that we've harmed people, and we're going to take action and not just make a corporate decision to pay money so that the corporation can go on and that the individual defendants don't go to jail. I would be happy to address the legal arguments if the court would like, but my understanding is that was not the purpose of this hearing. THE COURT: Mr. Butler, I have read your memorandum, and your pleadings, and thank you, very much. MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Have a good day. THE COURT: Now, other third parties have filed pleadings in this case by counsel. I know Mr. Bragg is local counsel. Is there anything else those attorneys wish to say? I take it not. Now, as I am advised the defendant, Purdue, would like to

19-23649-shl Doc 1911-1 Filed 11/10/20 Entered 11/10/20 15:21:31 Exhibit A Pg 47 of 47 Direct

1 present some witnesses, and I'll be glad to hear 2 those persons at this time. 3 MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, Purdue would call for purposes of the 4 sentencing hearing Mr. Dillie Walker to the stand, 5 6 please. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Walker, if you'd come forward and face the clerk and be sworn, please. 8 9 DILLIE WALKER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 11 BY MR. SHAPIRO: Mr. Walker, could you state your name clearly 12 13 and spell your last name for the record? 14 Dillie R. Walker. 15 Where do you live, sir? 16 Bay City, Michigan. 17 How old are you? 18 I'm 48. 19 Did you serve in the military, Mr. Walker? 20 Yes, sir. 21 And when was that? 22 From 1976 to 1978. 2.3 After you were discharged from the military what 2.4 sort of job did you do? 25 I became a tool and die maker apprentice.